

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RONALD J. MULDER,
Plaintiff,
v.
ISIDRO BACA, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No.: 3:24-cv-00046-ART-CLB

ORDER
(ECF No. 1)

I. DISCUSSION

Plaintiff, who was formerly incarcerated in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections, initiated this action with an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for inmates. (ECF No. 1). However, Plaintiff has filed a change of address indicating that he is no longer incarcerated. (ECF No. 6). The Court denies the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for inmates as moot because Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated. The Court now directs Plaintiff to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis by a non-prisoner or pay the full filing fee of \$405 on or before **September 20, 2024**.

II. CONCLUSION

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for inmates (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, no later than **September 20, 2024**, Plaintiff will either: (1) file a fully complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for non-prisoners; or (2) pay the full filing fee of \$405.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court WILL SEND Plaintiff the approved form application to proceed *in forma pauperis* by a non-prisoner, as well as the document entitled information and instructions for filing an *in forma pauperis* application.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff fails to timely comply with this order, this action will be subject to dismissal without prejudice. A dismissal without prejudice allows Plaintiff to refile the case with the Court, under a new case number, when Plaintiff

1 can file a complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for non-prisoners or pay the
2 required filing fee.

3
4 DATED THIS 21st day of August 2024.

5 
6 _____
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28